

## RESEARCH PAPER

# Determination of some heavy metals in imported rice grains (*Oryza sativa*) available in Sulaymaniyah market and evaluation of their health risk assessment

Shkofa Radha Ahmad<sup>1</sup>, Othman Kareem Qadir<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Food Science and Quality Control ,College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences, University of Sulaimani, Sulaymaniyah 46001, Kurdistan Region-Iraq

### ABSTRACT:

This investigation was carried out to determine the levels of several heavy metals, including As, Pb, Cd, Zn, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni, and Cu in imported rice sold in Sulaymaniyah markets, Iraqi Kurdistan Region. Estimated Weekly Intake (EWI) for each heavy metal from rice consumption were calculated, and the results were compared to the Provisional tolerable Weekly Intake (PTWI) to evaluate the potential health risk assessment. The values of Cu, Mn and Zn were significantly higher than allowable limits set by FAO/WHO but the Pb value was lower than allowable limits except (Uruguayan rice R9 and R12, Iranian rice R20, American rice R24, and Russian rice R26). The Cr value was within the safe limit except for brands (Indian rice R1, Uruguayan rice R12, Iranian rice R20, Chinese rice R33), which were higher than the allowable limit. The EWI for Ni in most samples were higher than the PTWI except (Turkish rice R13, Russian rice R25 and R27, and Chinese rice R29). Estimated Weekly Intake for Cr in nearly twenty one rice samples were lower than the PTWI limits. Also, the EWI for Zn, Mn and Cu were higher than the PTWI limits. Additionally, the EWI for Pb was much greater than other heavy metals evaluated. Thus, the high daily intake of rice contaminated with heavy metals could cause potential health hazards to the consumers in Kurdistan. More consideration should be assumed to imported rice and then prevention and control measures should be taken.

KEY WORDS: Rice, Imported Rice, Heavy Metals, Health Risk Assessment, Estimated Weekly Intake.

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21271/ZJPAS.35.1.9>

ZJPAS (2023) , 35(1);88-95 .

### 1. INTRODUCTION:

Rice is a staple food for the population of Iraq and Kurdistan Region, and that is why the need for imported rice is increased year by year. Rice is serving as a most common cereal food for approximately half of the global population. About 80% of the required daily energy of Asia`s people (2 billion population) derive only from rice (Chaudhari *et al.*, 2018). The health risks associated with long-term rice consumption containing heavy metals should be considered because they can accumulate in food systems through growing soil and contaminated water, which has grown to be a major issue in recent years (Lokeshwari and Chandrappa, 2006).

Heavy metals are a relatively high density group of metals and metalloids that are toxic even at low levels (Gautam *et al.*, 2014). They include of cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), zinc (Zn), arsenic (As), iron (Fe), nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), and chromium (Cr). Toxic elements, also defined as heavy metals, may have negative effects on living organisms and the environment, and tend to bio accumulate in humans, after continued exposure which causes various negative health effects (Jaishankar *et al.*, 2014). There are natural and human-made sources of heavy metal emissions into the environment, including industrial discharges, mining, and vehicle exhaust (Alloway, 2013), they are also originated from the use of phosphate fertilizers in agricultural and sewage sludge (Chen *et al.*, 2016).

---

#### \* Corresponding Author:

Othman Kareem Qadir  
E-mail: [othman.qadir@univsul.edu.iq](mailto:othman.qadir@univsul.edu.iq)

#### Article History:

Received: 01/08/2022  
Accepted: 09/09/2022  
Published: 20/02 /2023

According to several studies, rice contains heavy metals including As, Pb, Cd, and Hg (Fu *et al.*, 2015). Heavy metals such as Zn, Cr, Cu, Fe, and Mn are required for human physiological function in extremely small concentrations (Wuana and Okieimen, 2011). The frequent exposure to heavy metals from consuming rice have a major impact on mental disorders and another health issues due to lack of human body to detoxify them (Castro-González and Lima, 2016). However, heavy metals are vital for normal plants growth but they become poisonous in high quantities, they are also capable of being absorbed by plants, which can subsequently be consumed by people through food system (Guo *et al.*, 2020).

The international agency for research on cancer has already classified Pb as carcinogenic, and continuous exposure to low amounts of it can impair the immune system, kidneys, and genital system permanently (Tchounwou *et al.*, 2012). In addition, Fe is essential for the transfer of oxygen and the production of hemoglobin (Vogt *et al.*, 2021). Health concerns about Ni contamination in food and should not be neglected, as Ni combined with other harmful active compounds in the human body system can cause severe poisoning (Valko, Morris and Cronin, 2005).

Rice is a major part of the Kurdish diet. It is estimated around 85% of rice consumed in Iraq and the Kurdistan Region is imported. Most of these imported rice comes from India, Kazakhstan, Russia, Pakistan, Vietnam, Uruguay, the USA, Thailand, Turkey, and Iran, and over 100 companies are involved in importing rice. According to the Kurdistan Region Government (KRG) Planning and Follow-Up Department of the Ministry of Trade and Industry, more than one million metric tons of rice are imported into Iraq and the Kurdistan region each year. The ministry estimates that each people consumes 38.5 kg of rice each year.

Thus, the main objectives of this research were to (i) measure the concentrations of some heavy metals, including As, Pb, Cd, Cr, Cu, Zn, Fe, Ni), and Mn in the imported rice sold in Sulaymaniyah markets, Iraqi Kurdistan, (ii) the results will compare to the FAO/WHO-established acceptable limits, and (iii) determine the Preliminary Tolerable Weekly Intake (PTWI) suggested by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives is contrasted with the EWI of the studied heavy metals in the selected rice samples.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### Sample collection

A total of thirty-three types of commonly imported rice samples were randomly collected from the locally retail markets and the other famous hyper markets in Sulaymaniyah city includes (Family Mall, Majidi Mall, Show Market, and Sulaymaniyah Weekly Market), representing local consumer preferences and from ten major groups according to the country of origin and based on their popular consumption in Iraqi Kurdistan Region. The code of rice samples were placed depending on the country of origin were: Indian rice (R1, R2, R3, R4, R5, and R6), Uruguayan rice (R7, R8, R9, R10, R11, and R12), Turkish rice (R13 and R14), Kazakh rice (R15), Thailand rice (R16, R17, and R18), Iranian rice (R19 and R20), Pakistani rice (R21 and R22), American rice (R23 and R24), Russian rice (R25, R26, R27 and R28), and Chinese rice (R29, R30, R31, R32, and R33). They were purchased in their original imported packages (25, 10, 5 and 1 kg), then 1 kg from each samples kept in a plastic zip bag and stored until analysis.

### Samples preparation and analysis

Rice samples were passed through 355 µm sieve (prufsieb – ISO 3310-1) to reduce flour to remain fine fractions to ensure nonappearance of any imperfections, and sifting to get desired flour. The rice samples were stored at room temperature until analysis.

All rice samples were ground into a fine powder using a stainless steel grinder (COF-3820) before digestion. Approximately 1 g of milled rice samples were placed into 50 mL beaker and digested in 10 mL of 65% nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) solution, followed by heating at 150 °C for 1 h, until clear solution produced. Following cooling, and then filtered by using 45µm size Whatman filter paper. Prior to analysis, the digested samples were diluted with deionized water to a final volume of 25 ml and stored at 4 °C (Payus and Talip, 2014). The heavy metals concentrations were determined in digested samples using Inductivity Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) module (PerkinElmer – America).

### Health risk assessment

For the Kurdistan population, the EWI of heavy metals has been computed. In order to evaluate the potential human health risk assessment, the following equation reported by (Onsanit *et al.*, 2010).

The estimated weekly intake of heavy metals from consuming rice was taken into account while

assessing the potential risk to human health, and the calculated values were compared to the PTWI. The EWI was calculated using the following equation:

$$EWI = C * \frac{WC}{BW}$$

Where, EWI is estimated weekly intake (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight/week), C is the average of trace metal concentrations in rice (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight), WC is weekly rice consumption (g/week) per capita for the Kurdistan population (114.57 g per capita per day × 7) according to the KRG Planning and Follow-Up Department of the ministry of trade and industry. Weekly rice consumption (g per week) = 802 g, BW is the average of body weight in (kg) of the Kurdistan population (70 kg) (Tattibayeva *et al.*, 2016).

The values are given in mg/week/person:

### Statistical analysis

The experiment findings are statistically analyzed using XLSTAT-pro (version 7.5.2) according to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the CRD design. Duncan test was used for significant differences between the parameters at p ≤ 0.05.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### The heavy metal levels in imported rice

High consumption of heavy metals lead to serious health problems. For example, anemia, high blood pressure, severe effects on brain, kidney, lung, bone, liver function, composition of blood and other important organs (Jaishankar *et al.*, 2014). In comparison to other cereals, particularly rice absorbs more As from contaminated irrigation water and paddy soil, as well as from other sources including pesticides and fertilizers (Kwon, Nejad and Jung, 2017). Depending on the country of origin, imported rice samples contained different amounts of heavy metals. The achieved values of As in rice samples were lower than <0.001 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in all the studied rice samples which is lower than the safe limit set by (FAO/WHO), and regulations from the European Council and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) specify a maximum residual level of inorganic As in rice at less than 0.2 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Abedin *et al.*, 2002).

Lead is a highly hazardous element, and even low amounts of exposure can causes a variety of health problems (Batista, Silvestre and Oliveira, 2012). The amount of Pb in the rice samples analysed in this investigation ranged from <0.001 to 1.750 to mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The highest level of Pb was found in Iranian rice R20 (1.750), followed by (1.700,

0.525, 0.475) in R12, R24, and R26, respectively. Except for the samples mentioned above, where the average Pb concentrations were higher than the level set by FAO/WHO standards (0.2 mg/kg). According to the results found in China by (Yu *et al.*, 2016) the level of Pb ranged from (0.034–0.076 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). Additionally, in a study by (Naseri *et al.*, 2015) in Iran, the mean Pb levels in domestic and imported rice samples were both significantly higher than the FAO/WHO limit. The Pb levels in imported rice samples ranged from 0.76 to 2.00 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, and s in domestically grown rice samples ranged from 0.71 to 1.28 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

Cadmium content in rice samples were not detected due to the cause of use of ICP-OES to determine Cd. The obtained data of Cd were also negative and the element is not present at the detection limit. However, it has been stated in several studies that the concentration of Cd for imported rice grains were between 0.27-0.48 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.004-0.19 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in Iran and Jamaica, respectively (Antoine *et al.*, 2012). In similar with this study, studied conducted in several region in Iran, Cd does not detected in rice samples (Noll *et al.*, 2005). In contrast, in another study conducted in Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, the Cd was detected in 37 imported rice varieties and the result was above the standard limits. However, it has been confirmed that soaking and rinsing rice grains with water has been showed to help reduce Cd to acceptable levels. They discovered that washing rice with citric acid reduced the amount of Cd by more than 95% because the link between the metal and the rice protein was disrupted, another reason is that most divalent elements (cation +) are located in the outer layer of grain which can be released into washed water (Al-Saleh, Abduljabbar and health, 2017).

According to Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC), the authorized limit for Zn in rice grain is (Null) or should not be accessible, but in the present study, the highest concentration of Zn was 18.63 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> obtained in R7 and the lowest was 3.975 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in an R2. The concentrations of Zn in complete imported rice samples were higher than the recommended maximum tolerance value which is (Null) set by FAO/WHO. In comparison, the study conducted by (Guadie *et al.*, 2022), the Zn values in the rice samples ranged from 22.01 to 27.37 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in Pawe and Jimma White rices respectively, and the findings showed that rice imported from Pakistan and India had the lowest

and highest Zn levels, respectively. In a different investigation, rice samples from two locations of Vietnam had Zn concentrations ranging from 5.341 to 11.653 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Chu *et al.*, 2021).

While the highest concentration of Cr was determined in R33 Chinese rice (12.88 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), the lowest was zero in R7. The mean Cr values in most of the samples were lower than the FAO/WHO allowable limits which is (1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) except for these (R1, R12, R19, R20, and R33,). According to a study conducted in Ethiopia, Cr levels in imported rice were 4.82 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in an Indian rice, 5.56 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in a Pakistani rice, and 11.02 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in a Korean rice (Guadie *et al.*, 2022).

The highest concentration of Fe was found in the R20 (6357 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) and lowest in the R6 (5.175 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The Fe contents in (R7, R8, R9, R12, R17, R20, R24, and R26) exceeded the acceptable limit. However, in the remaining brands, the values of Fe were lower than the limit set by FAO/WHO (450 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). The results is similar to the study conducted in turkey, they found that the concentration of Fe was (5.06 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in rice purchased from the local market (Demirel *et al.*, 2008). In contrast, a study conducted on imported rice in Iraq, the concentration of Fe was in the range of 0.399 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in American basmati rice to 2.723 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in Basmati rice (Southern Iraqi company) (Almayahi and Aljarrah, 2020).

In terms of Mn contents, R12 had the highest amount 23.53 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, whereas R2 had the lowest level of 0.725 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Except for R2, all rice samples had mean Mn values above the acceptable limits set by the FAO/WHO (1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). According to a study performed in Vietnam by (Chu *et al.*, 2021), Mn levels in rice samples ranged from 7.4 to 42.65 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, exceeding the permitted limits.

In the present study, the concentration of Ni was observed to be highest in R33 (6.175 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), and the lowest level was <0.001 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in the rice samples (R13, R25, R27, and R29,). The mean of Ni contents in the rice samples such as (R13, R14, R21, R16, R21 R25, R27, R29, R30, R31, and R32) was lower than the acceptable limit set by FAO/WHO (0.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>). According to these findings, a study performed in Nigeria revealed that the levels of Ni in rice samples imported from Thailand and India ranged from 0.05 to 6.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Emumejaye, 2014). However, (Naseri *et al.*, 2015) studied Ni concentrations in imported rice samples from Thailand and India

and found that the Ni concentration ranged from 0.67 to 0.89 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

The highest concentration of Cu was 7.20 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in R23 and the lowest level was 0.325 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> in R2. Meanwhile, the (FAO/WHO, 2002), allowable limits for Cu set at (Null) in rice grain. All samples of imported rice used in the current study had Cu levels that exceeded the allowable limit. These results are consistent with those found in a study conducted in Vietnam, where it was stated that the Cu content ranged between 0.118 and 7.754 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (Chu *et al.*, 2021). Additionally, imported rice from Pakistan with a Cu content of 7.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and imported rice from Thailand with a Cu content ranging from 2.14 to 3.01 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> were both found in Malaysia (Abd Rashid *et al.*, 2018).

### **Estimated weekly intake of heavy metals from consumption of imported rice**

According to our findings, rice consumption that has been contaminated with heavy metals could be harmful to the local population's health. The WHO and FAO organizations have published heavy metals intake guidelines by human, thus we utilized the EWI based on the average values for each heavy metal in rice. According to the KRG Planning and Follow-Up Department of the Ministry of Trade and Industry, the average weekly consumption of rice is 802 g in Kurdistan, and the average body weight (BW) of an adult is 70 kg.

For all the imported rice samples, the EWI of As were <0.011 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. These levels were below the PTWI of 0.015 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. The EWI for Pb was significantly higher than the heavy metals measured, which is shown in (Table 2). The EWI of Pb in the all imported rice samples ranged from <0.011 to 20.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of BW. The R20 showed the highest average levels (20.05 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW) compared to (< 0.011 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW) for most the rice samples. The EWI of Pb in the most rice samples was below the PTWI and the EWI of Cd in several imported rice samples were <0.011 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, this value was below the PTWI. Furthermore, the highest amount of EWI of Zn was observed in a R7 (213.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW). The lowest EWI was (45.54 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW) observed in R2. In comparison to the FAO/WHO set PTWI limit, the mean Zn contents for EWI were substantially high.

The highest EWI of Cr was observed in R33 (147.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW) and the lowest EWI was (0.00 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW) in R7. In addition, The EWI for Cr in nearly twenty one rice samples were lower than

the PTWI limits. Furthermore, the high Cr content of may pose risks to human health. This might be as a result of the rice plant having a higher concentration of Cr due to the overuse of fertilizers to promote rice production (Guadie *et al.*, 2022). The R6 had the lowest EWI (59.29 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW), while R20 had the highest mean level of EWI for Fe (72838 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW). Unexpectedly, the PTWI set for Fe is missing. With regards to the Mn, The highest value was found in R12 (269.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW), while R2 had the lowest levels 8.306 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW. The EWI for Mn in imported rice brands was significantly higher than the PTWT.

The R13, R25, R27, and R29 had the lowest average EWI for Ni (0.011 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW), whereas the R33 had the highest average EWI for Ni (70.74 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW). For most brands, the EWI for Ni was higher than the PTWI set by (FAO/WHO, 2015). Additionally, R23 had the highest EWI value for Cu (82.49 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW), whereas R2 had the lowest (3.724 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> BW). The EWI for Cu was higher than the PTWI set by (FAO/WHO, 2015).

#### 4. Conclusions

This research showed that the average values for Cu, Mn and Zn in imported rice grain samples were significantly above the acceptable FAO/WHO limits. The EWI for As and Cd was lower than the PTWI set by FAO/WHO. The EWI for Ni was higher than the PTWI for most brands except (R13, R25, R27, R29, R30, R31 and R32). In addition, the EWI for Cr in nearly twenty rice samples was below the PTWI limit. According to this, a high proportion of the weekly intake of Pb, Fe, Cu, Ni, Mn and Zn resulted from rice. Rice is the major diet consumed by Kurdistan population and is often eaten once or twice per day. Therefore, it is essential that the quality control organizations constantly assess and check the quantity of heavy metals in the imported rice. The potential health concerns associated with exposure to heavy metals from other imported food products into Kurdistan should also be taken into account.

#### Acknowledgments

For their invaluable assistance in identifying heavy metals, the authors appreciate Mr. Chalak Ahmed and Dr. Polla Azad Khanaqa, directors of the Kurdistan Institution for Strategic Studies and Scientific Research. Many thanks to Dr. Zardasht and Mss. Azhin Bakhtiar for their assistance in the statistical analysis.

#### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- Abd Rashid, R., Muda, N., Saaid, M., Halim, M. A., Mohamed, K. J. I. J. o. E. and Technology (2018) 'Determination of Cd, Cu, Ni and Pb in Selected rice Grain Available in Malaysia', *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(4.14), pp. 232-236.
- Abedin, M., Cotter-Howells, J., Meharg, A. A. J. P. and soil (2002) 'Arsenic uptake and accumulation in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) irrigated with contaminated water', *Plant and soil*, 240(2), pp. 311-319.
- Al-Saleh, I., Abduljabbar, M. J. I. j. o. h. and health, e. (2017) 'Heavy metals (lead, cadmium, methylmercury, arsenic) in commonly imported rice grains (*Oryza sativa*) sold in Saudi Arabia and their potential health risk', *International journal of hygiene and environmental health*, 220(7), pp. 1168-1178.
- Alloway, B. J. (2013) 'Sources of heavy metals and metalloids in soils', *Heavy metals in soils*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 11-50.
- Almayahi, B. and Aljarrah, N. J. H. (2020) 'Relationship between heavy metals and alpha particles as a marker of environmental pollution in rice consumed in Najaf, Iraq', *Heliyon*, 6(1), pp. e03134.
- Antoine, J. M., Fung, L. A. H., Grant, C. N., Dennis, H. T., Lator, G. C. J. J. o. f. c. and analysis (2012) 'Dietary intake of minerals and trace elements in rice on the Jamaican market', *Journal of food composition and analysis*, 26(1-2), pp. 111-121.
- Batista, P., Silvestre, C. and Oliveira, P. 'GES integrated LBL/USBL navigation system for underwater vehicles'. *2012 IEEE 51st IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC)*: IEEE, 6609-6614.
- Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) Standard Codex Stan 193-1995: adopted in 1995; revised in 1997, 2006, 2008, and 2009; amended in 2010, 2012, 2013, and 2014.) 'Level of heavy metal and contaminants'.
- Castro-González, M. and Lima, A. J. A. C. (2016) 'Temporal shifts of nitrite reducing communities in a rice field soil in Ibagué (Colombia)', *Agronomía Colombiana*, 34(1), pp. 82-91.
- Chaudhari, P. R., Tamrakar, N., Singh, L., Tandon, A., Sharma, D. J. J. o. P. and Phytochemistry (2018) 'Rice nutritional and medicinal properties: A', *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(2), pp. 150-156.
- Chen, C., Zhang, J., Lu, M., Qin, C., Chen, Y., Yang, L., Huang, Q., Wang, J., Shen, Z., Shen, Q. J. B. and Soils, F. o. (2016) 'Microbial communities of an arable soil treated for 8 years with organic and inorganic fertilizers', *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 52(4), pp. 455-467.
- Chu, D. B., Duong, H. T., Nguyen Luu, M. T., Vu-Thi, H.-A., Ly, B.-T. and Loi, V. D. J. J. o. a. m. i. c. (2021) 'Arsenic and heavy metals in Vietnamese rice: assessment of human exposure to these

- elements through rice consumption', *Journal of analytical methods in chemistry*, 2021.
- Demirel, S., Tuzen, M., Saracoglu, S. and Soylak, M. J. J. o. h. m. (2008) 'Evaluation of various digestion procedures for trace element contents of some food materials', *Journal of hazardous materials*, 152(3), pp. 1020-1026.
- Emumejaye, K. J. I. J. o. A. P. (2014) 'Heavy and trace elements in some brands of rice consumed in delta state, Nigeria', *IOSR Journal of Applied Physics*, 6(2), pp. 01-05.
- FAO/WHO (2002) 'Schedule 1 maximum and guideline for contaminants and toxins in food. Codex Alimentarius General Standards for Contaminants and Toxins in food. Joint FAO/WHO food standards programme, '.
- FAO/WHO (2015) 'Expert Committee on Food Additives. Meeting and World Health Organization, Evaluation of Certain Food Additives: Seventy-ninth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives', *World Health Organization*, (Vol. 79).
- Fu, Q.-L., Li, L., Achal, V., Jiao, A.-Y., Liu, Y. J. H. and Journal, E. R. A. A. I. (2015) 'Concentrations of heavy metals and arsenic in market rice grain and their potential health risks to the population of Fuzhou, China', *Human and Ecological Risk Assessment: An International Journal*, 21(1), pp. 117-128.
- Gautam, R. K., Sharma, S. K., Mahiya, S. and Chattopadhyaya, M. C. (2014) 'Contamination of heavy metals in aquatic media: transport, toxicity and technologies for remediation'.
- Guadie, A., Mohammed, I., Beshaw, T. and Tefera, M. J. H. (2022) 'Analysis and health risk assessments of some trace metals in Ethiopian rice (white and red) and imported rice', *Heliyon*, 8(5), pp. e09374.
- Guo, B., Hong, C., Tong, W., Xu, M., Huang, C., Yin, H., Lin, Y. and Fu, Q. J. S. r. (2020) 'Health risk assessment of heavy metal pollution in a soil-rice system: a case study in the Jin-Qu Basin of China', *Scientific reports*, 10(1), pp. 1-11.
- Jaishankar, M., Tseten, T., Anbalagan, N., Mathew, B. B. and Beeregowda, K. N. J. I. t. (2014) 'Toxicity, mechanism and health effects of some heavy metals', *Interdisciplinary toxicology*, 7(2), pp. 60.
- Kwon, J. C., Nejad, Z. D. and Jung, M. C. J. C. (2017) 'Arsenic and heavy metals in paddy soil and polished rice contaminated by mining activities in Korea', *Catena*, 148, pp. 92-100.
- Lokeshwari, H. and Chandrappa, G. J. C. s. (2006) 'Impact of heavy metal contamination of Bellandur Lake on soil and cultivated vegetation', *current science*, pp. 622-627.
- Naseri, M., Vazirzadeh, A., Kazemi, R. and Zaheri, F. J. F. c. (2015) 'Concentration of some heavy metals in rice types available in Shiraz market and human health risk assessment', *Food chemistry*, 175, pp. 243-248.
- Noll, M., Matthies, D., Frenzel, P., Derakshani, M. and Liesack, W. J. E. M. (2005) 'Succession of bacterial community structure and diversity in a paddy soil oxygen gradient', *Environmental Microbiology*, 7(3), pp. 382-395.
- Onsanit, S., Ke, C., Wang, X., Wang, K.-J. and Wang, W.-X. J. E. P. (2010) 'Trace elements in two marine fish cultured in fish cages in Fujian province, China', *Environmental Pollution*, 158(5), pp. 1334-1342.
- Payus, C. and Talip, A. F. A. J. A. J. A. R. (2014) 'Assessment of heavy metals accumulation in paddy rice (*Oryza sativa*)', *Afr. J. Agric. Res*, 9(41), pp. 3082-3090.
- Tattibayeva, D., Nebot, C., Miranda, J., Cepeda, A., Mateyev, E., Erkebaev, M., Franco, C. J. E. g. and health (2016) 'A study on toxic and essential elements in rice from the Republic of Kazakhstan: comparing the level of contamination in rice from the European Community', *Environmental geochemistry and health*, 38(1), pp. 85-98.
- Tchounwou, P. B., Yedjou, C. G., Patlolla, A. K., Sutton, D. J. J. M., clinical and toxicology, e. (2012) 'Heavy metal toxicity and the environment', *Molecular, clinical and environmental toxicology*, pp. 133-164.
- Valko, M., Morris, H. and Cronin, M. J. C. m. c. (2005) 'Metals, toxicity and oxidative stress', *Current medicinal chemistry*, 12(10), pp. 1161-1208.
- Vogt, A.-C. S., Arsiwala, T., Mohsen, M., Vogel, M., Manolova, V. and Bachmann, M. F. J. I. j. o. m. s. (2021) 'On iron metabolism and its regulation', *International journal of molecular sciences*, 22(9), pp. 4591.
- Wuana, R. A. and Okieimen, F. E. J. I. S. R. N. (2011) 'Heavy metals in contaminated soils: a review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation', *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2011.
- Yu, H.-Y., Ding, X., Li, F., Wang, X., Zhang, S., Yi, J., Liu, C., Xu, X. and Wang, Q. J. E. P. (2016) 'The availabilities of arsenic and cadmium in rice paddy fields from a mining area: The role of soil extractable and plant silicon', *Environmental Pollution*, 215, pp. 258-265.

**Table 1. Levels of heavy metals (As, Pb, Cd, Zn, Cr, Fe, Mn, Ni and Cu) in the imported rice types in (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in Sulaymaniyah markets**

Country of origin	Code of rice samples	As	Pb	Cd	Zn	Cr	Fe	Mn	Ni	Cu
Indian	R1	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D <sup>*****</sup>	5.125 <sup>p</sup>	12.15 <sup>b</sup>	110.5 <sup>k</sup>	3.150 <sup>y</sup>	5.925 <sup>b</sup>	1.075 <sup>p</sup>
Indian	R2	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	3.975 <sup>rs</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	7.175 <sup>pq</sup>	0.725 <sup>l</sup>	0.125 <sup>p</sup>	0.325 <sup>y</sup>
Indian	R3	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	5.050 <sup>pq</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	25.60 <sup>o</sup>	2.175 <sup>z</sup>	0.175 <sup>o</sup>	0.850 <sup>s</sup>
Indian	R4	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	4.275 <sup>qrs</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	53.38 <sup>m</sup>	1.525 <sup>l</sup>	0.325 <sup>ij</sup>	0.875 <sup>rs</sup>
Indian	R5	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.150 <sup>g</sup>	N.D	5.000 <sup>pq</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	53.13 <sup>m</sup>	1.700 <sup>l</sup>	0.225 <sup>mn</sup>	1.475 <sup>l</sup>
Indian	R6	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	4.700 <sup>pqr</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	5.175 <sup>q</sup>	2.175 <sup>z</sup>	0.275 <sup>kl</sup>	0.800 <sup>t</sup>
Uruguay	R7	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.075 <sup>h</sup>	N.D	18.63 <sup>a</sup>	0.000 <sup>l</sup>	809.8 <sup>g</sup>	7.925 <sup>g</sup>	0.350 <sup>l</sup>	0.900 <sup>r</sup>
Uruguay	R8	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	8.450 <sup>ijklm</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	835.3 <sup>f</sup>	7.925 <sup>g</sup>	0.200 <sup>no</sup>	5.500 <sup>c</sup>
Uruguay	R9	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.275 <sup>e</sup>	N.D	9.625 <sup>gh</sup>	0.775 <sup>f</sup>	1671 <sup>e</sup>	11.50 <sup>d</sup>	0.600 <sup>g</sup>	2.075 <sup>l</sup>
Uruguay	R10	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	7.875 <sup>mno</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	9.500 <sup>pq</sup>	6.000 <sup>l</sup>	0.300 <sup>jk</sup>	2.350 <sup>g</sup>
Uruguay	R11	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	15.10 <sup>b</sup>	0.600 <sup>h</sup>	15.65 <sup>p</sup>	4.775 <sup>q</sup>	0.600 <sup>g</sup>	0.650 <sup>v</sup>
Uruguay	R12	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	1.700 <sup>b</sup>	N.D	9.600 <sup>gh</sup>	1.525 <sup>d</sup>	6233 <sup>b</sup>	23.53 <sup>a</sup>	1.000 <sup>d</sup>	0.700 <sup>u</sup>
Turkey	R13	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	8.375 <sup>ijklmn</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	26.38 <sup>o</sup>	5.100 <sup>p</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>q</sup>	2.150 <sup>h</sup>
Turkey	R14	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	9.775 <sup>fg</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	29.03 <sup>no</sup>	4.450 <sup>s</sup>	0.050 <sup>q</sup>	0.550 <sup>w</sup>
Kazakhstan	R15	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	9.200 <sup>ghij</sup>	0.475 <sup>i</sup>	37.50 <sup>n</sup>	3.650 <sup>x</sup>	0.275 <sup>kl</sup>	0.700 <sup>u</sup>
Thailand	R16	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.175 <sup>g</sup>	N.D	10.90 <sup>e</sup>	0.025 <sup>l</sup>	16.25 <sup>p</sup>	4.300 <sup>t</sup>	0.125 <sup>p</sup>	3.525 <sup>d</sup>
Thailand	R17	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.225 <sup>f</sup>	N.D	10.45 <sup>ef</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	806.8 <sup>g</sup>	5.800 <sup>j</sup>	0.250 <sup>lm</sup>	2.800 <sup>e</sup>
Thailand	R18	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	10.48 <sup>ef</sup>	0.200 <sup>k</sup>	12.60 <sup>pq</sup>	5.200 <sup>o</sup>	0.725 <sup>f</sup>	2.650 <sup>f</sup>
Iran	R19	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	9.525 <sup>gh</sup>	1.050 <sup>e</sup>	431.5 <sup>h</sup>	8.200 <sup>f</sup>	0.525 <sup>h</sup>	2.000 <sup>j</sup>
Iran	R20	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	1.750 <sup>a</sup>	N.D	13.13 <sup>c</sup>	1.625 <sup>c</sup>	6358 <sup>a</sup>	22.33 <sup>b</sup>	1.375 <sup>c</sup>	6.600 <sup>b</sup>
Pakistan	R21	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	12.30 <sup>d</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	5.850 <sup>q</sup>	3.800 <sup>w</sup>	0.050 <sup>q</sup>	0.475 <sup>x</sup>
Pakistan	R22	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	10.03 <sup>fg</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	26.85 <sup>o</sup>	7.000 <sup>h</sup>	0.125 <sup>p</sup>	0.900 <sup>r</sup>
America	R23	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	7.550 <sup>no</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	69.88 <sup>l</sup>	6.000 <sup>l</sup>	0.300 <sup>jk</sup>	7.200 <sup>a</sup>
America	R24	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.525 <sup>c</sup>	N.D	8.325 <sup>klmn</sup>	0.725 <sup>g</sup>	4978 <sup>c</sup>	14.00 <sup>c</sup>	0.950 <sup>e</sup>	2.050 <sup>i</sup>
Russian	R25	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.175 <sup>g</sup>	N.D	7.675 <sup>mno</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	10.53 <sup>pq</sup>	5.550 <sup>m</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>q</sup>	1.150 <sup>o</sup>
Russian	R26	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	0.475 <sup>d</sup>	N.D	8.775 <sup>hijkl</sup>	0.300 <sup>j</sup>	1721 <sup>d</sup>	9.200 <sup>e</sup>	0.300 <sup>jk</sup>	1.225 <sup>n</sup>
Russian	R27	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	7.325 <sup>o</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	7.875 <sup>pq</sup>	5.625 <sup>l</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>q</sup>	1.675 <sup>k</sup>
Russian	R28	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	7.650 <sup>mno</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	7.450 <sup>pq</sup>	5.800 <sup>j</sup>	0.125 <sup>p</sup>	1.425 <sup>m</sup>
China	R29	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	8.900 <sup>hijk</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	11.23 <sup>pq</sup>	4.250 <sup>u</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>q</sup>	0.675 <sup>uv</sup>
China	R30	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	9.250 <sup>ghi</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	398.0 <sup>l</sup>	5.475 <sup>n</sup>	0.025 <sup>q</sup>	1.025 <sup>q</sup>
China	R31	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	7.925 <sup>lmno</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	14.35 <sup>pq</sup>	4.050 <sup>v</sup>	0.025 <sup>q</sup>	0.700 <sup>u</sup>
China	R32	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	8.225 <sup>klmn</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	30.55 <sup>no</sup>	4.600 <sup>r</sup>	0.025 <sup>q</sup>	0.450 <sup>x</sup>
China	R33	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>	< 0.001 <sup>1</sup>	N.D	8.275 <sup>klmn</sup>	12.88 <sup>a</sup>	149.3 <sup>j</sup>	5.725 <sup>k</sup>	6.175 <sup>a</sup>	1.025 <sup>q</sup>
<b>*(FAO/WHO) standard limits.</b>		<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.2</b>	<b>0.4</b>	<b>**Null</b>	<b>***1.0</b>	<b>#450</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>0.1</b>	<b>**Null</b>

\* From the Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) Standard Codex Stan 193-1995; amended in 2019. \*\* Null is zero value, \*\*\* CX/FAO/02/16, 2002, \*\*\*\*N. D not detected

\*FAO/WHO Food Standards Program, vol. XVII, Codex Alimentariou, Geneva, Switzerland, 1st edition, 1984.

**Table 2. The calculated EWI values for the imported rice types (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight).**

Country of origin	Code of rice samples	Pb	Zn	Cr	Fe	Mn	Ni	Cu
Indian	R1	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	58.72 <sup>p</sup>	139.2 <sup>b</sup>	1266 <sup>k</sup>	36.09 <sup>y</sup>	67.88 <sup>b</sup>	12.32 <sup>p</sup>
Indian	R2	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	45.54 <sup>rs</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	82.21 <sup>pq</sup>	8.306 <sup>l</sup>	1.432 <sup>p</sup>	3.724 <sup>y</sup>
Indian	R3	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	57.86 <sup>pq</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	293.3 <sup>o</sup>	24.91 <sup>z</sup>	2.005 <sup>o</sup>	9.739 <sup>s</sup>
Indian	R4	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	48.98 <sup>qrs</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	611.5 <sup>m</sup>	17.472 <sup>l</sup>	3.724 <sup>ij</sup>	10.03 <sup>rs</sup>
Indian	R5	1.719 <sup>g</sup>	57.289 <sup>pq</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	608.7 <sup>m</sup>	19.477 <sup>l</sup>	2.578 <sup>mn</sup>	16.89 <sup>l</sup>
Indian	R6	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	53.85 <sup>pqr</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	59.29 <sup>q</sup>	24.92 <sup>z</sup>	3.151 <sup>kl</sup>	9.166 <sup>t</sup>
Uruguay	R7	0.859 <sup>h</sup>	213.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.000 <sup>l</sup>	9277 <sup>g</sup>	90.79 <sup>g</sup>	4.010 <sup>i</sup>	10.31 <sup>r</sup>
Uruguay	R8	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	96.81 <sup>ijklm</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	9570 <sup>f</sup>	90.79 <sup>g</sup>	2.291 <sup>no</sup>	63.01 <sup>c</sup>
Uruguay	R9	3.151 <sup>e</sup>	110.8 <sup>gh</sup>	8.879 <sup>t</sup>	19142 <sup>e</sup>	131.7 <sup>d</sup>	6.874 <sup>g</sup>	23.77 <sup>i</sup>
Uruguay	R10	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	90.23 <sup>mno</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	108.8 <sup>pq</sup>	68.74 <sup>i</sup>	3.437 <sup>jk</sup>	26.92 <sup>g</sup>
Uruguay	R11	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	173.0 <sup>b</sup>	6.874 <sup>h</sup>	179.3 <sup>p</sup>	54.70 <sup>q</sup>	6.874 <sup>g</sup>	7.447 <sup>v</sup>
Uruguay	R12	19.48 <sup>b</sup>	109.9 <sup>gh</sup>	17.47 <sup>d</sup>	71406 <sup>b</sup>	269.5 <sup>a</sup>	11.45 <sup>d</sup>	8.020 <sup>u</sup>
Turkey	R13	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	95.95 <sup>ijklmn</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	302.2 <sup>o</sup>	58.43 <sup>p</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>q</sup>	24.63 <sup>h</sup>
Turkey	R14	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	111.9 <sup>fg</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	332.5 <sup>no</sup>	50.98 <sup>s</sup>	0.573 <sup>q</sup>	6.301 <sup>w</sup>
Kazakhstan	R15	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	105.4 <sup>ghij</sup>	5.442 <sup>i</sup>	429.6 <sup>n</sup>	41.81 <sup>x</sup>	3.151 <sup>kl</sup>	8.020 <sup>u</sup>
Thailand	R16	2.005 <sup>g</sup>	124.9 <sup>e</sup>	0.286 <sup>l</sup>	186.2 <sup>p</sup>	49.26 <sup>t</sup>	1.432 <sup>p</sup>	40.38 <sup>d</sup>
Thailand	R17	2.578 <sup>f</sup>	119.7 <sup>ef</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	9243 <sup>g</sup>	66.45 <sup>j</sup>	2.864 <sup>lm</sup>	32.08 <sup>e</sup>
Thailand	R18	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	120.0 <sup>ef</sup>	2.291 <sup>k</sup>	144.4 <sup>pq</sup>	59.57 <sup>o</sup>	8.306 <sup>f</sup>	30.36 <sup>f</sup>
Iran	R19	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	109.1 <sup>gh</sup>	12.03 <sup>e</sup>	4943 <sup>h</sup>	93.94 <sup>f</sup>	6.015 <sup>h</sup>	22.91 <sup>j</sup>
Iran	R20	20.05 <sup>a</sup>	150.8 <sup>c</sup>	18.61 <sup>c</sup>	72838 <sup>a</sup>	255.7 <sup>b</sup>	15.75 <sup>c</sup>	75.62 <sup>b</sup>
Pakistan	R21	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	140.9 <sup>d</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	67.02 <sup>q</sup>	43.53 <sup>w</sup>	0.573 <sup>q</sup>	5.442 <sup>x</sup>
Pakistan	R22	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	114.8 <sup>fg</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	307.6 <sup>o</sup>	80.20 <sup>h</sup>	1.432 <sup>p</sup>	10.31 <sup>r</sup>
America	R23	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	86.50 <sup>no</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	800.6 <sup>l</sup>	68.74 <sup>i</sup>	3.437 <sup>jk</sup>	82.49 <sup>a</sup>
America	R24	6.015 <sup>c</sup>	95.38 <sup>klmn</sup>	8.306 <sup>g</sup>	57027 <sup>c</sup>	160.4 <sup>c</sup>	10.88 <sup>e</sup>	23.48 <sup>i</sup>
Russian	R25	2.005 <sup>g</sup>	87.93 <sup>mno</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	120.5 <sup>pq</sup>	63.58 <sup>m</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>q</sup>	13.17 <sup>o</sup>
Russian	R26	5.442 <sup>d</sup>	100.5 <sup>hijkl</sup>	3.437 <sup>j</sup>	19712 <sup>d</sup>	105.4 <sup>e</sup>	3.437 <sup>jk</sup>	14.03 <sup>n</sup>
Russian	R27	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	83.92 <sup>o</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	90.22 <sup>pq</sup>	64.44 <sup>l</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>q</sup>	19.19 <sup>k</sup>
Russian	R28	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	87.65 <sup>mno</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	85.35 <sup>pq</sup>	66.45 <sup>j</sup>	1.432 <sup>p</sup>	16.32 <sup>m</sup>
China	R29	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	101.9 <sup>hijk</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	128.6 <sup>pq</sup>	48.69 <sup>u</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>q</sup>	7.734 <sup>uv</sup>
China	R30	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	105.9 <sup>ghi</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	4559 <sup>i</sup>	62.72 <sup>n</sup>	0.286 <sup>q</sup>	11.74 <sup>q</sup>
China	R31	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	90.79 <sup>lmno</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	164.4 <sup>pq</sup>	46.40 <sup>v</sup>	0.286 <sup>q</sup>	8.020 <sup>u</sup>
China	R32	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	94.24 <sup>klmn</sup>	< 0.011 <sup>l</sup>	350.1 <sup>no</sup>	52.70 <sup>r</sup>	0.286 <sup>q</sup>	5.156 <sup>x</sup>
China	R33	< 0.011 <sup>i</sup>	94.81 <sup>klmn</sup>	147.5 <sup>a</sup>	1710 <sup>j</sup>	65.59 <sup>k</sup>	70.74 <sup>a</sup>	11.74 <sup>q</sup>
<b>**PTWI (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight)</b>		<b>0.025</b>	<b>0.42</b>	<b>0.023</b>	<b>Ns*</b>	<b>0.11</b>	<b>0.035</b>	<b>0.5</b>

\*Different Letters inside the column displays significant differences among the treatment means at (P ≤0.05) according to Duncan's test.

\* There is no PTWI set for Iron, \*\*PTWI stated in (FAO/WHO, 2015)