ISSN (print):2218-0230, ISSN (online): 2412-3986, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.21271/zjpas

RESEARCH PAPER

Allelopathic Assessment by Interaction Effect of Coconut Water (*Cocos nucifera* L.), and Dipping Time on Seed Germination of Four Cereal Seeds.

Yaseen Ahmed Rasheed Goran

Department of Biology, College of Science ,Salahaddin University-Erbil, Kurdistan region-Iraq.

ABSTRACT:

Coconut water (*Cocos nucifera* L.) is a biological and sterile liquid from coconut kernel. It contains a variety of ionic materials, sugars, amino acids, and several plant growth regulators. This work has been achieved in plant physiology research laboratory in Biology Department, College of Science at Salahaddin University/Erbil during July to December 2019. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effect of concentration, different dipping time and the interaction between both seed germination and seedling radicle and plumule length. The effect of different concentrations (1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% v/v) of coconut water and different dipping times (5, 10, 15, and 20 seconds) on four cereal seeds germination and seedling parameters, the seedling plumule and radicle lengths, also the interaction between both treatments were studied. In this study it claims that when using different concentrations, the best one is the 3% concentration that effects on percent germination of all seeds used in this study, where the higher germination percentage was recorded. The best effective concentration on radicle and plumule elongation was in 1% and all concentrations showed significant effection. The best active dipping time was at 5 seconds and others also showed significant effection on both radicles and plumules. In the case of interaction of both treatments the more positive effective interaction was at 5 seconds in 4% concentration on radicles and plumules.

KEY WORDS: allelopathy. barley, sorghum, soft wheat, hard wheat, coconut water, dipping time. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.21271/ZJPAS.32.4.15</u> ZJPAS (2020), 32(4);122-134 .

1.INTRODUCTION

The term allelopathy derived from the Greek compounds *allelo-* and *-pathos* (meaning "mutual harm" or "suffering"), was first introduced by Austrian professor Hans Molisch in 1937 in the book *Der Einfluss einer Pflanze auf die andere - Allelopathie* (The Effect of Plants on Each Other) published in German. In 1971, Whittaker and Feeny published a study where they outlined allelochemicals as all chemical exchanges among organisms (Willis, 2007).

* Corresponding Author:

Yaseen Ahmed Rasheed Goran E-mail: Article History: Received: 27/01/2020 Accepted: 03/05/2020 Published: 08/09 /2020 Rice (1984) expanded the definition to include all direct positive or negative influences of a plant on another plant or on micro-organisms by the release of biochemicals into the natural environment in his monograph on allelopathy. In more recent times, Botanists started to shift back to the previous definition of substances that are produced by one plant that inhibit another plant (Willis, 2007).

Coconut water (*Cocos nucifera* L.) is a biological and sterile liquid from coconut kernel. It contains a variety of ionic materials, sugars, amino acids, and several plant growth regulators. Coconut water (*Cocos nucifera*) must not be mistaken with coconut milk, although some studies have used the two terms interchangeably (Kobayashi, *et al.*, 1997; Sandhya and Rajamohan, 2008). Coconut water is the aqueous part of the coconut endosperm, while coconut milk is the liquid products acquired by grating the solid endosperm, with or without the addition of water (APCC, 1994). Unlike coconut water, coconut milk, is the source of coconut oil and generally is not used in plant tissue culture medium formulations (George and Sherrington, 1984; Seow and Gwee, 1997).

Coconut water is broadly used in the plant tissue culture industry. The wideranging use of coconut water as a growthenhancing component in tissue culture medium formulation can be trailed back to more than 50 years ago, when first presented coconut water as a new element of the nutrient medium for callus cultures in 1941 by Van Overbeek and coworkers (Arditti, 2008). Furthermore, its nutritional role, coconut water also seems to have growth regulatory properties activity (George and Sherrington, 1984). Some of the most important and beneficial components in coconut water are cytokinins, which are class of a phytohormones (Kende and Zeevaart, 1997). In addition to many different plantrelated functions, it was also discovered that some cytokinins (e.g., kinetin and trans-zeatin) demonstrated significant antianti-ageing, thrombotic. and anticarcinogenic effects (Vermeulen et al., 2002; and Rattan and Clark, 1994). Other elements found in coconut water include alcohols. nitrogenous sugar sugars, compounds, lipids, amino acids, organic acids and enzymes, and they play various functional roles in plant and human

systems because of their distinct chemical properties (George and Sherrington, 1984; Santos *et al.*,1996; and USDA, 2009).

Inversely, coconut water is a rich addition that naturally contains plant growth regulators such as indole 3-acetic acid (IAA). The objective work of this research was to assess the capacity of coconut water extracts containing natural IAA, on adventitious radicle development in vegetative propagation of ornamental plant canes. Five different concentrations of coconut water extracts were tested. The IAA of coconut water extract recorded the best radicle development and induction. It was discovered that the radicle expression was faster (5 weeks) using the novel method. In the standard method, the canes were disseminated by quick dip application a commercial product containing of artificial hormone IAA. It takes up to 6 weeks for canes develop the to adventitious radicles to the desired level. The study shows that adventitious plumule development, radicle development, and leaf emergence of the ornamental plant was supported by IAA of coconut water extracts (Agampodi and Jayawardena, 2009). In a study where IAA and coconut water used in different concentrations and their combination on the germination of seeds. The studv revealed that the combinations of coconut water and able IAA were to hasten radicles emergence, plumule height growth and increase the number of radicles for the plant Tribulus (Tribulus terrestris L.), (Akhiriana et al., 2019).

Another study assessed if coconut water can be used as radicle setting medium in mangrove propagation *Rhizopora stylosa* in terms of number of radicles and average length of radicles generated. The results demonstrated that

ZANCO Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2020

coconut water can be used as radicle setting hormone and can be used as alternative radicle setting medium in *Rhizopora stylosa* propagation (Ogatis, 2015).

Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) wheat, both soft (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Adana) and hard (*T. durum* L. cv. Smito), and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) are members of the grass family (Poaceae). They are a self-pollinating. They are important cereal crops in the world. They are of the earliest domesticated crops since the start of civilization (Dhillon *et al.*, 2006).

The purpose of this study is to evaluate the effect of concentration, different dipping time and the combination of both, on seed germination percentage and seedling radicle and plumule length.

Material and Methods

This work has been achieved in plant physiology research laboratory in Biology Department, College of Science at Salahaddin University/Erbil during July to December 2019. Healthy coconut fruit (Cocos nucifera L.) used in this study was collected from market, Coconut water has been gotten from one fruit and kept in the refrigerator in dark bottle to be used later. Fresh white barley seeds (Hordeum vulgare L.), wheat seeds (Triticum sp.) both soft wheat (T. aestivum L. cv Adana) and hard wheat (T. durum L. cv Smito) were gotten from the agricultural research center in Kirkuk province. Sorghum seeds (Sorghum bicolor L.) were gotten from market. Twenty seeds, surface sterilized by rinsing for 5 seconds with 0.1% sodium hypochlorite solution, then rinsed in distilled water for each plant, prepared to be put in each of sterilized glass Petri

dishes lined with 90 mm sterilized filter paper for all experiments with four Petridishes for each treatment as duplicates. The following experiments were conducted for this study.

Effect of *dipping* time on seed germination and seedlings. Using different dipping times for each plant seeds, for short time, lasted for 5, 10, 15, and 20 seconds in fresh concentrated coconut water, rinsed with distilled water then seeds, for each plant, were placed in marked Petri dishes and 10 ml of sterilized distilled water was added to each Petri dish. Petri dishes stoppered with para-film to prevent evaporation and placed in cold incubator at 23°C for 7 days. After which measurements of percent seed germination and the length of both plumule and radicle lengths, in millimeters, for each seedling was taken and recorded.

% seed germination =
$$\frac{\text{germinated seeds}}{\text{sawn seeds}} * 100$$

Effect of coconut water concentrations on seed germination and seedlings.

Using 10 ml of each 1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% concentrations (v/v) of coconut water diluted solutions and were added to 20 seed containing Petri-dishes. then stoppered with para-film to prevent evaporation and placed in cold incubator at $23^{\circ}C$ for days. After which 7 measurements of percent seed germination and the length of both plumules and radicles, in millimeters, for each seedling was taken and recorded.

Interaction effect between dipping time and different concentration on seed germination and seedling.

ZANCO Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2020

Here the experiment used to detect effect of the interaction of both factors on seed germination was executed by rinsing of barley, sorghum, and Wheat seeds with different times (5, 10, 15, and 20 seconds) in different coconut water concentrations (1%, 2%, 3%, and 4% v/v concentrations)and then placed in filter paper-lined Petri dishes, then adding 10 ml of distilled water, stoppered with para-film to prevent evaporation and placed in a cold incubator $23^{\circ}C$ for 7 days. After at which measurements of percent seed germination and the length of both plumules and radicles, in millimeters, for each seedling was taken and recorded.

Controls prepared by using sterilized Petri dishes lined with sterilized filter papers and 20 surface sterilized seeds for each were sawn in four replicates and 10 ml sterilized distilled water was added to be used as control instead of coconut water concentrations, stoppered with para-film to prevent evaporation and placed in cold incubator at 23°C for 7 days. After germination of seeds radicle and plumule length were measured for germinated seeds. The statistical design was Completely Randomized Factorial Design, and using Dunkan test to show differences between means at 0.05.

Results

The effect of coconut water on seed germination

Seed germination percent of all seeds were affected whether positively or negatively by both dipping time and different concentrations of coconut water so do the interaction of both treatments.

Results showed that the percent germination of adana wheat was affected positively in different concentration of coconut water (1,2, and 3 %) while when it is deals with dipping time and interaction between both effects were slightly positive and negatively effects on seed germination percent, this is shown obviously in figure (1).

The Smito hard wheat was affected positively in 1% of coconut water concentration but there was fluctuation of slightly positive and negative effects on seed germination percent when it is dealing with other concentrations, dipping time and the interaction between them. These conclusions are obvious in figure (2).

The effect of different coconut water treatments on seed percent germination of white barley is same as the effects on Smito hard wheat seeds in that the most seed germination percent was at 1% concentration of coconut water, and this is quite obvious in figure (3).

Sorghum seeds Showed a very obvious sensitivity to coconut water treatments in all treatments whether concentration, dipping time, and their interactions. All treatments showed positive effects on seed germination with a smooth fluctuation from lower treatments to higher one. These are noticed when looking at figure (4). Where it has effects on nineteen treatments out twenty-eight with percentage between 96% up to 100% germination.

The effect of coconut water different concentrations, dipping time, and their interaction on seedling radicle length.

From the table (1) which shows the effect of coconut water different concentrations on radicles of cereals seedlings. It is easy to conclude that adana seedlings soft wheat radicles were longer significantly control than at concentrations of 1% and 3% and the other two (2% and 4%) concentrations were nonsignificantly shorter than control mean. While Smito hard wheat seedlings radicles were significantly elongated at 1% and 2% concentrations but the other two (3% and 4%) concentrations were non-significantly shortened. All white barley seedlings radicle means were significant longer than control seedlings radicles mean. Lastly for sorghum seedlings radicle except for the radicles at concentration 1% were shorter than control mean non-significant, all other concentrations were shortened significantly.

In the table (2) which deals with effect on different dipping times of seeds in coconut water. The table shows that Adana soft wheat radicles were significantly elongated than control at times of 5, 10, and 20 seconds while at 15 seconds was elongated non-significantly. All radicles length of Smito hard wheat were significantly longer than control radicles. The same thing happened with white barley radicles in that all dipping times affected positively on radicles length in comparison with control radicles. In sorghum seeds all dipping times, except for 15 seconds, affected positively on radicles length.

The effect of the interaction of both treatments, time and concentrations, of coconut water on the radicles length of the germinated seeds tested shown in table (3) below. The Adana soft wheat seedlings length elongated radicles all were significantly except for two, 10sec. at 1% concentration and 5sec. at 2% concentration, which were shortened nonsignificantly. Smito hard wheat seedlings radicles length radicles. all was significantly longer than control this means all interactions were positively that effective on radicle length. All radicles length of seedlings of white barley were positively affected with different interactions of both treatments significantly and radicles length were longer than control seedlings radicles length. But in case of sorghum seedlings radicles in all treatments were affected negatively and significantly comparing with control seedlings radicles length that means they were shorter.

The effect of coconut water different concentrations, dipping time, and their interaction on seedling plumule length.

Plumules of germinated plants shows different affect to different processing of coconut water. In table (4) different concentrations of coconut water it shows that the Adana soft wheat seedlings plumules were elongated significantly 1% concentration and decreases length significantly at concentrations 2% and 4%. The plumules of Smito hard wheat seedlings were elongated significantly at 1% and 2% concentrations. White barley seedling plumules were elongated at all significantly. concentration A11 concentrations of coconut water were effective positively on sorghum seedling plumules which were longer than control.

Effect of different dipping times on seedling plumules for tested cereal seeds are shown in table (5). The results show that the Adana soft wheat seedling plumules has been shortened significantly 20 seconds dipping time and at significantly elongated at 10 seconds dipping time. But the other two timings non-significantly were affected when compared with the mean of control plumule length. Seedling plumules of smito hard wheat show different responses to dipping time in that all means were significantly elongated in comparison to control seedling plumule mean. All means of white barley seedling plumules were positively affected to different dipping

ZANCO Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2020

times in comparison with control mean plumule length. The last plant in this treatment is sorghum where its seedling plumules were significantly shorter than the mean of control plumule except at 10seconds dipping time where the mean was bigger than control length means but non-significantly.

The effect of the interaction of both treatments, dipping time and concentrations, on plumules length of seedlings differs among tested seeds. The adana soft wheat seedling plumules were divided into two parts where half of means were elongated significantly in compare with control mean, while the other half shortened or elongated non-significantly as it is obvious in table (6) below. All Smito soft wheat plumule seedlings showed

significant differences with positive control mean and plumules were longer than control plumules. In white barley all means were positively significant and plumules were longer than that of control, except for the treatment with 20 seconds dipping at 1% concentration where its length was non-significant. The sorghum seedlings were divided into two groups, half were significantly shorter than the mean of control and the other half were significantly longer except for the 15second dipping 2% treatment at concentration which was non-significantly shortened.

Note that in all treatments means within a column followed with the same letters are not significantly differ from each other according to Duncan multiple range test at 5% level.



Figure 1: Effect of different treatments on Adana soft wheat seed germination percent.





Figure 2: Effect of different treatments on Smito hard wheat seed germination percent.



Figure 3: Effect of different treatments on white barley seed germination percent.



Figure 4: Effect of different treatments on sorghum seed germination percent.

Table (1) Effect of different concentrations of coconut water on radicle length of the four cereals tested seedlings.

	Adana Wheat N=22		Smito Wheat N= 37		White I N=	Barley 35	Sorghum N= 42		
Treatment	Radicle length (mm)		Radicle (mr	length n)	Radicle length (mm)		Radicle (m	Radicle length (mm)	
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Control	10.8 ^a	7.2	27.9 ^a	15.1	33.3 ^a	9.8	126.0 ^c	183.1	
1%	68.5 ^c	15.6	76.4 ^c	32.2	80.6 ^d	13.9	91.8 ^c	19.8	
2%	9.9 ^a	10	46.5 ^b	20.4	83.7 ^d	20.1	82.3 ^b	27.8	
3%	24.4 ^b	11.7	24.9 ^a	14.6	42.7 ^b	15.6	83.9 ^b	37.3	
4%	8.5 ^a	5	20.8 ^a	8.3	56.9 ^c	31.8	37.6 ^a	23.5	
Total	24.4	25.1	39.3	28.4	59.4	28	84.3	89.4	

S.D. = Standard Deviation

Table (2) Effect of different dipping times in coconut water on radicle length of the four cereals tested seedlings.

	Adana Wheat N=22		Smito Wheat N= 37		White Barley N= 35		Sorghum N=42	
Treatment	Radicle length		Radicle	length	Radicle length		Radicle length	
	(IIIII)		(IIII)	11)	(111	11)	(111	.11)
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S.D.

Control	10.8 ^a	7.2	27.9 ^a	15.1	33.3 ^a	9.8	126.0 ^b	183.1
5 Sec.	47.6 ^c	17.1	72.1 ^c	26.9	84.1 ^c	32.9	96.1 ^b	38
10 Sec.	39.1 ^b	19.4	58.3 ^b	21.8	63.0 ^b	23.7	112.0 ^b	33.8
15 Sec.	12.5 ^a	8	67.7 ^c	18.6	79.5 [°]	25.9	71.9 ^a	28.4
20 Sec.	32.3 ^b	9.3	77.9 ^c	28.3	78.1 ^c	12.5	108.4 ^b	28.5
Total	28.5	19.6	60.8	28.6	67.6	29.1	102.9	88

Table (3) Interaction e	effect of dipping	time and concer	ntration, of cocor	nut water on	radicle length	of the four
cereals tested seedling	<u></u> s.					

Treatm	ont	Adana N=	Wheat =22	Smito V N=	Wheat 37	White I N=	Barley Sorg 35 N=		hum 42	
Treatment Control 5 sec. 1% 2% 3% 4% 1% 2% 3% 4% 1% 2% 3% 4% 1% 2% 3% 4% 1% 2% 3% 4% 4%	Radicle (m	e length m)	Radicle (mr	length n)	Radicle (mr	length n)	Radicle (mr	length n)		
		Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Control		10.8 ^a	7.2	27.9 ^a	15.1	33.3 ^a	9.8	126.0 ^g	183.1	
	1%	26.5 ^c	13.6	48.5 ^d	24.6	72.7 ^f	25.1	84.2 ^f	27.4	
5	2%	7.4 ^a	6.5	54.7 ^e	27.9	70.4 ^e	25.7	53.7 ^c	18.5	
J sec.	3%	26.4 ^c	21	62.1 ^f	27.8	73.5 ^g	25.4	81.7 ^f	26.4	
	4%	33.4 ^e	23.7	69.6 ^f	22.6	86.5 ⁱ	25.4	87.8 ^f	26.4	
	1%	6.9 ^a	6.1	68.3 ^f	25.5	64.5 ^d	21.5	92.7 ^f	25.5	
10 600	2%	28.4 ^d	16.6	69.8 ^f	20.4	84.1 ⁱ	25.2	64.0 ^e	23.1	
TU sec.	3%	41.8 ^e	24.4	83.4 ^g	23.3	76.1 ^h	28.7	60.0 ^d	17.7	
	4%	30.1 ^d	23.8	81.8 ^g	20	88.1 ⁱ	26.7	$\begin{array}{c c} N = 4 \\ \hline Radicle \\ (mn) \\ \hline Mean \\ 126.0 g \\ \hline 84.2 f \\ 53.7 c \\ \hline 81.7 f \\ \hline 87.8 f \\ 92.7 f \\ \hline 64.0 e \\ \hline 60.0 d \\ \hline 33.3 a \\ \hline 86.4 f \\ \hline 62.7 e \\ \hline 74.0 f \\ \hline 47.9 b \\ \hline 76.6 f \\ \hline 46.5 b \\ \hline 55.8 c \\ \hline 81.2 f \\ \hline 71.5 \\ \end{array}$	8.3	
	1%	25.8 ^c	12.1	58.8 ^f	20.5	73.7 ^g	18.3	86.4 ^f	37.8	
15 000	2%	14.8 ^b	9.9	57.1 ^e	23.5	79.8 ^h	19.9	62.7 ^e	22.1	
15 sec.	3%	31.1 ^e	19.64	68.6 ^f	14.7	83.8 ⁱ	19.8	74.0 ^f	32.6	
	4%	32.1 ^e	9.8	37.9 ^c	20.9	88.7 ⁱ	34.9	Radicle (mn Mean 126.0 g 84.2 f 53.7 c 81.7 f 87.8 f 92.7 f 64.0 e 60.0 d 33.3 a 86.4 f 62.7 e 74.0 f 47.9 b 76.6 f 46.5 b 55.8 c 81.2 f 71.5	16.9	
	1%	32.1 ^e	13.2	40.8 ^c	17	61.0 ^c	17.2	76.6 ^f	22.6	
20,600	2%	14.3 ^b	6.8	30.5 ^b	19.4	85.7 ⁱ	18.6	46.5 ^b	16	
20 sec.	3%	39.0 ^e	23.1	54.3 ^e	19.6	93.8 ⁱ	20.2	55.8 °	23.5	
	4%	22.1 ^c	12.3	89.9 ^g	31.4	56.6 ^b	23.4	81.2 ^f	34.3	
Tota	ıl	24.9	18.8	59.1	28.2	74.8	27.2	71.5	54.3	

Treatment	Adana Wheat N=22		Smito Wheat N= 37		White H	Barley 35	Sorgl N=	ghum = 42	
	Plumule (m)	length	Plumule (mr	length	Plumule length		Plumule (mr	Plumule length	
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.	
Control	24.6 ^c	7.2	21.1 ^a	8.4	34.9 ^a	13.8	54.8 ^c	16.2	
1%	55.1 ^d	11	57.8 ^c	27.8	100.0 ^d	12.8	39.2 ^b	10.4	
2%	19.5 ^b	15.7	38.8 ^b	24.2	79.3 ^c	13.8	44.5 ^b	14.5	
3%	25.7 ^c	5.8	14.3 ^a	9.3	38.7 ^a	24.9	23.1 ^a	19.7	
4%	11.2 ^a	5	13.5 ^a	9.7	55.2 ^b	29.1	23.7 ^a	15.7	
Total	27.2	17.7	29	24.6	61.8	32	37.1	19.7	

Table (4) Effect of different concentrations of coconut water on plumule length of the four cereals tested seedlings.

Table (5) Effect of different dipping times in coconut water on plumule length of the four cereals tested seedlings.

Treatment	Adana Wheat N=22		Smito Wheat N= 37		White N=	White Barley N= 35		Sorghum N= 42	
	Plumule length (mm)		Plumule (mr	length n)	Plumule (mi	Plumule length Plumule (mm) (mm			
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	
Control	24.6 ^b	7.2	21.1 ^a	8.4	34.9 ^a	13.8	54.8 ^c	16.2	
5 Sec.	28.1 ^b	12.2	62.1 ^c	28.7	69.2 ^c	30.2	43.0 ^a	17.2	
10 Sec.	42.2 ^c	12.6	46.2 ^b	15.6	63 ^c	29.7	59.8 ^c	14.2	
15 Sec.	30.5 ^b	14.9	58.2 ^c	20.1	74.1 ^c	25.9	48.3 ^b	14.5	
20 Sec.	22.0 ^a	12.6	45.8 ^b	27.4	59.3 ^b	14.5	46.8 ^a	15.6	
Total	29.5	13.9	46.7	25.6	60.1	27.3	50.5	16.6	

Table (6) Interaction effect of dipping time and concentration, of coconut water on plumule length of the four cereals tested seedlings.

Treatment	Adana Wheat N=22		Smito Wheat N= 37		White Barley N= 35		Sorghum N= 42	
	Plumule length (mm)		Plumule length (mm)		Plumule (mi	e length m)	Plumule length (mm)	
	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.	Mean	S. D.

ZANCO Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2020

Contr	ol	24.6 ^d	7.2	21.1 ^a	8.4	34.9 ^a	13.8	54.8 ^d	16.2
	1%	23.9 ^d	16.3	44.7 ^e	31.9	46.4 ^c	19.0	63.4 ^f	14.2
5	2%	12.6 ^a	7.5	42.4 ^e	37.1	48.5 ^d	16.9	28.5 ^a	9.0
5 sec.	3%	19.2 ^d	17.2	45.9 ^e	29.9	55.1 ^d	22.5	56.8 ^f	15.0
	4%	25.5 ^d	21.3	52.8 ^e	31.0	66.9 ^f	28.3	59.7 ^f	13.8
	1%	12.1 ^a	9.1	54.5 ^e	35.0	57.9 ^e	20.2	58.3 ^f	17.1
10	2%	18.1 ^c	13.3	51.4 ^e	31.8	46.8 ^c	12.8	55.9 ^e	19.4
10 sec.	3%	25.0 ^d	13.9	48.4 ^e	32.7	48.2 ^d	19.1	33.3 ^b	10.3
	4%	21.3 ^d	21.3	53.0 ^e	22.6	58.2 ^e	26.0	37.7 ^b	9.4
	1%	22.7 ^d	17.8	38.4 ^d	26.5	48.3 ^d	14.6	56.9 ^f	20.1
15	2%	15.8 ^b	9.5	46.8 ^e	32.6	69.4^{f}	21.4	53.8 ^d	16.5
15 sec.	3%	35.6 ^e	15.3	45.1 ^e	23.8	49.8 ^d	11.2	44.7 ^c	15.8
	4%	19.4 ^d	9.5	37.7 ^d	23.1	67.1 ^f	29.1	38.2 ^b	10.2
	1%	16.6 ^c	9.2	30.1 ^c	27.9	35.2 ^a	19.2	62.7^{f}	11.3
20	2%	19.1 ^d	10.9	26.2 ^b	19.0	54.0 ^d	19.4	46.8 ^c	10.8
20 sec.	3%	26.8 ^e	18.2	40.8 ^e	22.5	53.7 ^d	11.7	30.9 ^a	12.2
	4%	29.0 ^e	15.1	54.7 ^e	25.1	37.3 ^b	16.4	58.4 ^f	21.2
Tota	1	21.6	15.2	43.2	29.2	51.6	21.8	49.5	18.4

Discussion and conclusions

The effects of coconut water in different concentrations on plants seeds germination were in acceptance with those mentioned by other authors (Komgrit et al., 2011; Chan and Elevitch, 2006), where both used different concentrations (0%, 2.5%, 5%, 7.5% and 10%). The dipping time treatment has not been trialed or mentioned in any literature in any citation and this is the first time to be trialed such effect or treatment for study. The concentration affected positively on radicles and plumules length for all plants specially the 1% which their effects were the higher than others. While the dipping time showed positive effect at both 5 and 10 seconds dipping time on both radicles and plumules while other times showed different effects. The interaction between

on radicles where the radicles were affected positively and significantly in all dipping times at concentration of 4%. Plumules were more sensitive significantly positive at 4sec. in 4% concentration for adana soft wheat and so for smito hard wheat. White barley was positive and significant in 4% at the times 5 and 10 seconds, while the rest were affected in 2% concentration at 15 and 20 seconds. The sorghum seedling plumules were affected positively in 1% concentration at all dipping times except for 5 second dipping time was affected in 4% concentration. Simply these results are in agreement with studies (Agampodi the of and Jayawardena, 2009) and (Akhiriana et al., 2019) in that they used IAA and coconut water in different concentrations and

both treatments showed different affections

combination of both. They noticed that adventitious plumule development and height, radicle emergence and development, and leaf emergence of the ornamental plant was supported by IAA of coconut water also they affected the seed germination.

Conclusion from results it has been that results showed concentration at 1% and dipping time with 10 seconds are the most active on enhancing radicle and plumule growth and percent germination. While the effect on interaction of both treatments were active at 15 and 20 seconds with all concentrations.

References

- Agampodi,V. A. and Bimali Jayawardena (2009). Effect of coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.) water extracts on adventitious radicle development in vegetative propagation of *Dracaena purplecompacta* L. <u>Acta</u> <u>Physiologiae Plantarum</u> 2009, Volume 31, Issue 2, pp 279-284
- Akae, T., Avci, M., Dusunceli, F.(2004). Barely; postharvest operations. OECD (2004). Consensus document on compositional considerations for new varieties of barely (*Hordium vulgare*); key food and feed nutrients and anti- nutrient s. Report No.12 Environment Directorate, OECD, Paris.
- Akhiriana E., Samanhudi K., and Yunus A. (2019). Coconut Water and IAA Effect on the InVitro Growth of Tribulus terrestris L. Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis, 67(1): 9–18.
- Arditti, J. (2008). *Micropropagation of Orchids*, 2nd ed.; Blackwell Publishing: Oxford, UK; Volume II.
- Asian and Pacific Coconut Community (APCC). International Codes and Standard for Aqueous Coconut Products, 2nd draft. Standards Task Force, Asian and Pacific Coconut Community: Jakarta, Indonesia, 1994.
- Chan E and Elevitch C. R ,(2006). *Cocos nusifera*(coconut) tradiotional tree 2(1).
- Chandra, G.S; proudlove, MO,; baxter E. D. The structure of barely endosperm; an important determinant of malt modification. J. Sci. Food Agric., 1999, 79, 37-46.
- Chang-Hung, Chou (2006). "Introduction to allelopathy", 2006, Part 1, 1-9.
- Dhillon B. S., Saxena S., Agrwal A and Tyagi R. K. (2006) Food grain crops in plant genetic resources. Narosa publishing house, New Delhi.
- Ever, T; Millar, S. cereal grain structure and development: some implication for quality. J. cereal sci. 2002,36, 261-284

- Fincher, G. B.; Stone, B. A. Cell walls and their component in cereal grain technology. Adv. Cereal Sci. Technol. 1986, 8, 207-295.
- Fraenkel, Gottfried S. (1959). "The raison d'Etre of secondary plant substances", Science, 129 (3361).
- Gamlath, J.; Aldred, G. P.; Panozzo, J. F. (2008). Barley (1-3; 1-4)- B-glucan and arabinoxylan content are related to kernel hardnes and water uptake. J. cereal Sci., 47, 365-371.
- George, E.F.; Sherrington, P.D. (1984). Plant Propagation by Tissue Culture-Handbook and Directory of Commercial Laboratories; Exegetics Ltd: Edington, UK, 1984.
- ICAR (2006) Hand book of Indian Agriculture. ICAR publication, New Delhi
- Izydorczyk, M.S.; Dexter, J. E.; Desjardins, R. G.; Rossnagel, B.G.; Lagasse, S. L.; Hatcher, D. W. Roller milling condian hull-less barely; optimization of roller milling conditions and composition of mill streams. Cereal chem. 2003, 80, 637-644.
- Jothi V. and Loomba S. (2013). *Cocos nusifera*; Its properties and contribution to densty; International Jornal Scientific study, volume 1. issue 3.
- Kende, H.; Zeevaart. J. (1997). The five "Classical" plant hormones. *Plant Cell*, 9, 1197–1210.
- Kobayashi, H.; Morisaki, N.; Tago, Y.; Hashimoto, Y.; Iwasaki, S.; Kawachi, E.; Nagata, R.; Shudo, K. (1997). Structural identification of a major cytokinin in coconut milk as 14-O-(3-O-[β-Dgalactopyranosyl-(1-->2)-α-D-galactopyranosyl-(1-->3)-α-L-arabinofuranosyl]-4-O-(α-Larabinofuranosyl)-β-D-galactopyranosyl)-transzeatin riboside. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 45, 260–264.
- Komgrit I., Suhaimine C. and Sompong T. (2011). Cytokinins and coconut water promoted abnormalities in zygotic embryo culture of oil palm Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 33 (6), 653-657.
- Ogatis, R.A. (2015). A Comparative Evaluation of Coconut Water as Radicle Setting Medium for *Rhizopora Stylosa* Hypocotyl Propagation International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064 Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391
- Ram H. H. and Singh H. G. (2003) Crop breeding and genetics. Kalyani publication, new Delhi.
- Rasmusson D. C. (1985). Barely. Crop science society of America publisher S., Madison.
- Rattan, S.I.S.; Clark, B.F.C. Kinetin delays the onset of ageing characteristics in human fibroblasts. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1994, 201, 665–672.
- Rice, E. L. (1984). Allelopathy, (1st Ed., November 1974 by the same editor) (2nd Ed.), Academic Press, pp. 422 p. ISBN 978-0-12-587058-0
- Sandhya V.G., and Rajamohan T. (2008) Comparative evaluation of the hypolipidemic effects of coconut water and lovastatin in rats fed fat-cholesterol enriched diet. Food Chem. Toxicol., 45, 3585– 3592.

ZANCO Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 2020

- Santos U., Kubo K., Ota T., Tadokoro T., and Maekawa A. (1996) Nutrient composition of coconuts water (*Cocos nucifera* L.). *Food Chem.*, *57*, 299–304.
- Seow C.C., and Gwee C.N. (1997) Coconut milk: Chemistry and technology. Int. J. Food Sci. Tech., 32, 189– 201.
- Shewry, P. R. (1992) Barley seed storage proteins structure, synthesis, and deposition. In nitrogen metabolism of plant; pilbeam, D. J., Mengel, K., Eds.; Oxford university press; Oxford, Uk; pp 201-227.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, 2008. Nuts, coconut water [Online]. Available: <u>http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/</u> foodcomp/cgibin/list_nut_edit.pl/, accessed on 9 December 2009.
- Vermeulen K., Strnad M., Kryštof V., Havlicek L., Van der Aa A., Lenjou M., Njis G., Rodrigus I., Stockman B., Van Onckelen H., Van Bockstaele D.R., and Berneman Z.N. (2002). Antiproliferative effect of plant cytokinin analogues with an inhibitory activity on cyclindependent kinases. *Leukemia*, 16, 299–305.
- Willis, R. J. (2007). "The History of Allelopathy", Springer: 3, ISBN 1-4020-4092-X, retrieved 2009-08-12.
- Wood P. (2007) Cereal B –Glucan in diet and health J. Cereal Sci., 46, 230 -238.